arXiv:1212.4085v1 [math.AP] 17 Dec 2012

SHARP CONSTRUCTIONS OF EIGENFUNCTIONS OF THE MAGNETIC SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR

BLAIR DAVEY

ABSTRACT. We prove sharpness of quantitative unique continuation results for solutions of $-\Delta u + W \cdot \nabla u + Vu = \lambda u$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and V and W are complex-valued decaying potentials that satisfy $|V(x)| \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-N}$ and $|W(x)| \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-P}$. For $\mathbf{M}(R) = \inf_{|x_0|=R} ||u||_{L^2(B_1(x_0))}$, it was shown in a companion paper that if the solution u is non-zero, bounded, and $u(0) = 1$, then $\mathbf{M}(R) \gtrsim \exp\left(-CR^{\beta_0}(\log R)^{A(R)}\right)$, where $\beta_0 = \max\left\{2-2P, \frac{4-2N}{3}, 1\right\}$. Under certain conditions on N, P, λ, and the dimension, we construct examples (some of which are in the style of Meshkov) to prove that this estimate for $\mathbf{M}(R)$ is sharp. That is, we construct functions u, V and W such that $-\Delta u + W \cdot \nabla u + Vu = \lambda u$, $|V(x)| \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-N}$, $|W(x)| \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-P}$ and $|u(x)| \lesssim \exp\left(-c|x|^{\beta_0}(\log|x|)^{C}\right)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the companion paper [6], we established quantitative unique continuation estimates at infinity for eigenfunctions of the magnetic Schrödinger operator. That is, we studied the growth of solutions to $-\Delta u + W \cdot \nabla u + Vu = \lambda u$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and V and W are complex-valued potentials that decay at infinity, and established a lower bound for the function $\mathbf{M}(R) = \inf_{|x_0|=R} ||u||_{L^2(B_1(x_0))}$. In this paper, we will show that under certain conditions on λ, V, W, and the dimension, we are able to construct examples to prove that our lower bounds for $\mathbf{M}(R)$ from [6] are sharp. If V and W do not both decay too quickly, these examples are done in the style of Meshkov. Otherwise, the constructions are much simpler.

Recall that $\langle x\rangle = \sqrt{1+|x|^2}$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and suppose that u is a solution to

$$-\Delta u + W \cdot \nabla u + Vu = \lambda u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$|V(x)| \le A_1 \langle x\rangle^{-N}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$|W(x)| \le A_2 \langle x\rangle^{-P}, \tag{1.3}$$

for $N, P, A_1, A_2 \ge 0$. Assume also that u is bounded,

$$||u||_\infty \le C_0, \tag{1.4}$$

and normalized,

$$u(0) \ge 1. \tag{1.5}$$

Define $\beta_c = \max\left\{2-2P, \frac{4-2N}{3}\right\}$, $\beta_0 = \max\{\beta_c, 1\}$. For large R, let

$$\mathbf{M}(R) = \inf_{|x_0|=R} ||u||_{L^2(B_1(x_0))}.$$

The following theorem is the main result of [6].

Theorem 1. *Assume that the conditions described above in (1.1)-(1.5) hold. Then there exist constants* $\tilde{C}_5(n)$, $C_6(n,N,P)$, $C_7(n,N,P,A_1,A_2)$, $R_0(n,N,P,\lambda,A_1,A_2,C_0)$, *such that for all* $R \ge R_0$,
(a) if $\beta_c > 1$ ($\beta_0 = \beta_c$), *then*

$$\mathbf{M}(R) \ge \tilde{C}_5 \exp\left(-C_7 R^{\beta_0} (\log R)^{C_6}\right), \tag{1.6}$$

The author was supported by the National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada, PGSD2-404040-2011.

(b) if $\beta_c < 1$ ($\beta_0 = 1$), then

$$\mathbf{M}(R) \geq \tilde{C}_5 \exp\left(-C_7 R (\log R)^{C_6 \log\log R}\right). \tag{1.7}$$

The next theorem shows that, under reasonable conditions, there are constructions that prove that Theorem 1 is sharp (up to logarithmic factors).

Theorem 2. *For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $N, P \geq 0$ chosen so that either*

(a) $\beta_0 = \beta_c > 1$ and $n = 2$; or
(b) $\beta_c < 1$ and $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$,

there exist complex-valued potentials V and W (at least one of which is equal to zero) and a non-zero solution u to (1.1) such that

$$|V(x)| \leq C\langle x\rangle^{-N}, \tag{1.8}$$

$$|W(x)| \leq C\langle x\rangle^{-P}. \tag{1.9}$$

Furthermore,

$$|u(x)| \leq C \exp\left(-c|x|^{\beta_0} (\log|x|)^A\right),$$

for some constant $A \in \{-1, 0\}$.

To prove Theorem 2(a), we will use a construction similar to that of Meshkov in [19]. However, to account for eigenvalues and decaying potentials, our construction is even more complicated. The proof of Theorem 2(b) is much simpler; it relies on a lemma which is proved by mathematical induction. The idea behind the constructions for Theorem 2(b) is based on the following observation: For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\arg\lambda \in [-\pi, \pi) \setminus \{0\}$, the function $u_1(r) = \exp\left(\operatorname{sgn}(\arg\lambda)\sqrt{-\lambda} r\right)$ satisfies an equation of the form (1.1) with either $V = Cr^{-1}$ and $W \equiv 0$, or $V \equiv 0$ and $W = Cr^{-1}$. Furthermore, $|u_1(r)| \lesssim \exp(-Cr)$. We then notice that if we add a carefully-chosen logarithmic term to the exponent of u_1, this new function satisifies equations of the form (1.1) with a potential that decays like Cr^{-2}. The careful addition of more lower order terms to the exponent drives the potentials to decay faster and faster. Induction is used to establish each addition term in the exponent. It is interesting to note that the constructions for Theorem 2(b) are real-valued when the eigenvalue λ is real-valued. This is not the case for Theorem 2(a) in which the constructions rely heavily on complex values, even when the eigenvalues are real. These proofs are presented in Section 2.

We will now explain why the extra restriction on λ for the case when $\beta_c < 1$ is reasonable (when we restrict to $W \equiv 0$). A classical result of Kato in [15] shows that if $\lim_{x\to\infty} |x||V(x)| = 0$, then the operator $-\Delta + V$ has no positive eigenvalues. This rules out the possibility of constructing eigenfunctions for $\lambda > 0$ and $\beta_c = \frac{4-2N}{3} < \frac{2}{3}$. To eliminate the other possibilities, we need to use the following lemma, which is a Corollary to Theorem 2.4 in [11].

Lemma 1.1. *Suppose V is $o\left(r^{-1/2}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(0)$. If $-\Delta u + Vu = \lambda u$, then either $e^{\alpha r} u \notin L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(0)\right)$ for every $\alpha > \sqrt{\max\{-\lambda, 0\}}$, or u vanishes outside a compact set.*

Assume that we could construct a non-zero eigenfunction u such that $-\Delta u + Vu = \lambda u$, where $\lambda \geq 0$, $|V(x)| \leq \langle x\rangle^{-N}$, for some $N > 1/2$ and $|u(x)| \lesssim \exp(-c|x|)$ for some $c > 0$. Since $\beta_c < 1$, we are in the case that Theorem 2 excludes. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u(0) = 1$. Since V is $o\left(r^{-1/2}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(0)$ for every $R \geq 0$, we may apply Lemma 1.1. If u vanishes outside of a compact set, then by Theorem 1(b), u must be identically zero, which is a contradiction to our original assumption. Therefore, we must have that $e^{\alpha r} u \notin L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(0)\right)$ for every $\alpha > 0$. Since this is clearly not true for any $\alpha \in (0, c)$, we get another contradiction. It follows that no such eigenfunction can exist.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the Meshkov-type constructions that prove Theorem 2(a) will be presented. Then, in Section 3, we will prove a lemma that gives the necessary functions to prove Theorem 2(b). Appendix A presents a technical lemma that is used in the Meshkov-type constructions.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2(A)

To prove Theorem 2(a), we will prove Proposition 1 below with a Meshkov-type construction. Some straightforward calculations prove Proposition 2, which gives us Theorem 2(b). These propositions show that we do not need both potentials. That is, if V is the dominant potential (meaning that the decay of W is faster), then we can construct a solution to equation (1.1) with $W \equiv 0$. And if W is the dominant potential, then we can construct a solution to equation (1.1) with $V \equiv 0$. We will consider each proposition separately.

Proposition 1. *For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we have the following.*

(a) If $\beta_0 = \dfrac{4-2N}{3} > 1$, then there exists a potential V and an eigenfunction u such that

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = Vu, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$|V(x)| \le C\langle x\rangle^{-N} \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$|u(x)| \le C\exp\left(-c\frac{|x|^{\beta_0}}{\log|x|}\right). \tag{2.3}$$

(b) If $\beta_0 = 2-2P > 1$, then there exists a potential W and an eigenfunction u such that

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = W \cdot \nabla u, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$|W(x)| \le C\langle x\rangle^{-P} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$|u(x)| \le C\exp\left(-c|x|^{\beta_0}\right). \tag{2.6}$$

Before presenting the constructions for Proposition 1, we need a couple of lemmas. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, use the principal branch to define

$$\mu_n(r) := \exp\left(n\left[\log\left(\sqrt{1-\frac{\lambda r^2}{n^2}}+1\right) - \sqrt{1-\frac{\lambda r^2}{n^2}} - \log 2 + 1\right]\right).$$

As we will specify below, $n >> r$, so $\left|\dfrac{\lambda r^2}{n^2}\right| < 1$. It follows that $\Re\left(1-\dfrac{\lambda r^2}{n^2}\right) > 0$, so all square root terms are well defined (and have positive real part) with this choice of branch cut. Since the argument for the logarithmic term has real part greater than 1, that term, and hence the function μ_n, is well defined with this branch choice. A power series expansion of the exponent gives

$$\mu_n(r) = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda r^2}{4n} + \frac{\lambda^2 r^4}{32n^3} + \frac{\lambda^3 r^6}{96 n^5} + \dots\right).$$

Whenever $\left|\dfrac{\lambda r^2}{n^2}\right| < 1$, the power series in the exponent converges everywhere.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose ρ is a large positive number ($\rho > \rho_0 > 0$), $\beta_0 = \dfrac{4-2N}{3} > 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $\left|n - \dfrac{\rho^{\beta_0}}{\log\rho}\right| \le 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $\left|k - 6\left(\beta_0 - \dfrac{1}{\log\rho}\right)\dfrac{\rho^{\beta_0/2}}{\log\rho}\right| \le 10$. Let $\alpha = 1-\frac{\beta_0}{2}$. Then in the annulus $[\rho, \rho+6\rho^{\alpha}]$ it is possible to construct an equation of the form (2.1) and a solution u of this equation such that the following hold:*

1. *(2.2), where C does not depend on ρ, n or k.*
2. *If $r \in [\rho, \rho+0.1\rho^{\alpha}]$, then $u = r^{-n}e^{-in\varphi}\mu_n$.*
   If $r \in [\rho+5.9\rho^{\alpha}, \rho+6\rho^{\alpha}]$, then $u = ar^{-(n+k)}e^{-i(n+k)\varphi}\mu_{n+k}$, for some $a \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$.
3. *Let $m(r) = \max\{|u(r,\varphi)| : 0 \le \varphi \le 2\pi\}$. Then there exists a $c > 0$, not depending on ρ, n or k, such that*

$$\ln m(r) - \ln m(\rho) \le -c\int_\rho^r \frac{t^{\beta_0-1}}{\log t}dt + \ln 2 \tag{2.7}$$

for any $r \in [\rho, \rho+6\rho^{\alpha}]$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose* ρ *is a large positive number* ($\rho > \rho_0 > 0$), $\beta_0 = 2 - 2P > 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *is such that* $\left| n - \rho^{\beta_0} \right| \leq 1$ *and* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *is such that* $\left| k - 6\beta_0 \rho^{\beta_0/2} \right| \leq 40$. *Let* $\alpha = 1 - \frac{\beta_0}{2}$. *Then in the annulus* $[\rho, \rho + 6\rho^{\alpha}]$ *it is possible to construct an equation of the form (2.4) and a solution* u *of this equation such that the following hold:*

(1) *(2.5), where* C *does not depend on* ρ, n *or* k.

(2) *If* $r \in [\rho, \rho + 0.1\rho^{\alpha}]$, *then* $u = r^{-n} e^{-in\varphi} \mu_n$.
If $r \in [\rho + 5.9\rho^{\alpha}, \rho + 6\rho^{\alpha}]$, *then* $u = ar^{-(n+k)} e^{-i(n+k)\varphi} \mu_{n+k}$, *for some* $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

(3) *Let* $m(r) = \max\{|u(r,\varphi)| : 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi\}$. *Then there exists a* $c > 0$, *not depending on* ρ, n *or* k, *such that*

$$\ln m(r) - \ln m(\rho) \leq -c \int_{\rho}^{r} t^{\beta_0 - 1} dt + \ln 2 \tag{2.8}$$

for any $r \in [\rho, \rho + 6\rho^{\alpha}]$.

Since these lemmas are so similar, it is not surprising that their proofs are as well. We will present the more complicated proof first, that of Lemma 2.1. We will then show the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. As r increases from ρ to $\rho + 6\rho^{\alpha}$, we rearrange equation (2.1) and its solution u so that all of the above conditions are met. This process is broken down into four major steps.

Throughout this proof, the number C is a constant that is independent of ρ, n and k.

Step 1: $r \in [\rho, \rho + 2\rho^{\alpha}]$. During this step, the function $u_1 = r^{-n} e^{-in\varphi} \mu_n(r)$ is rearranged to $u_2 = -br^{-n+2k} e^{iF(\varphi)} \mu_{n-2k}(r)$, both of which satisfy an equation of the form (2.1), where b is a complex number and F is a function that will be defined shortly.

Let $\varphi_m = 2\pi m/(2n+2k)$, for $m = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n+2k-1$. Then $\{\varphi_m\}_{m=0}^{2n+2k-1}$ is the set of all solutions to $e^{-in\varphi} - e^{i(n+2k)\varphi} = 0$ on $\{0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi\}$. Let $T = \pi/(n+k)$. On $[0, T]$, we define f to be a C^1 function such that $f(\varphi) = -4k$ for $\varphi \in [0, T/5] \cup [4T/5, T]$. We also require that f satisfies the following:

$$-4k \leq f(\varphi) \leq 5k, \ \ 0 \leq \varphi \leq T, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\int_0^T f(\varphi) d\varphi = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

$$|f'(\varphi)| \leq Ck/T = Ck(k+n)/\pi, \ \ 0 \leq \varphi \leq T. \tag{2.11}$$

We extend f periodically (with period T) to all of $\mathbb{R}$ and set

$$\Phi(\varphi) = \int_0^{\varphi} f(t) dt.$$

By (2.10), Φ is T-periodic and $\Phi(\varphi_m) = \Phi(mT) = 0$. Furthermore, Φ is 2π-periodic. By (2.9)-(2.11), the following facts hold for all $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$|\Phi(\varphi)| \leq 5kT = 5\pi k/(n+k), \tag{2.12}$$

$$|\Phi'(\varphi)| \leq 5k, \tag{2.13}$$

$$|\Phi''(\varphi)| \leq Ckn. \tag{2.14}$$

Also, for all $\varphi \in \{|\varphi - \varphi_m| \leq T/5\}$,

$$\Phi(\varphi) = -4k(\varphi - \varphi_m) = -4k\varphi + b_m, \tag{2.15}$$

where b_m is some real number.

Set

$$F(\varphi) = (n+2k)\varphi + \Phi(\varphi). \tag{2.16}$$

If $|\varphi - \varphi_m| \leq T/5$, then $u_2 = -be^{ib_m} r^{-(n-2k)} e^{i(n-2k)\varphi} \mu_{n-2k}(r)$.

Choose $b=(\rho+\rho^{\alpha})^{-2k}\dfrac{\mu_n(\rho+\rho^{\alpha})}{\mu_{n-2k}(\rho+\rho^{\alpha})}$ so that $|u_1(\rho+\rho^{\alpha},\varphi)|=|u_2(\rho+\rho^{\alpha},\varphi)|$. Since $|u_2(r,\varphi)/u_1(r,\varphi)|=\left|br^{2k}\frac{\mu_{n-2k}(r)}{\mu_n(r)}\right|$, then by the assumptions on k and ρ and the behavior of μ_n and μ_{n-2k},

$$|u_2(r,\varphi)/u_1(r,\varphi)|\le e^{-C},\quad r\in\left[\rho,\rho+\tfrac{2}{3}\rho^{\alpha}\right] \tag{2.17}$$
$$|u_2(r,\varphi)/u_1(r,\varphi)|\ge e^{C},\quad r\in\left[\rho+\tfrac{4}{3}\rho^{\alpha},\rho+2\rho^{\alpha}\right]. \tag{2.18}$$

Choose smooth cutoff functions ψ_1, ψ_2, ψ_3, ψ_4 such that $\psi_1(r)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if} \quad r\le\rho+\frac{4}{3}\rho^{\alpha}\\ 0 & \text{if} \quad r\ge\rho+\frac{5}{3}\rho^{\alpha}\end{cases}$, $\psi_2(r)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if} \quad r\le\rho+\frac{1}{3}\rho^{\alpha}\\ 1 & \text{if} \quad r\ge\rho+\frac{2}{3}\rho^{\alpha}\end{cases}$, $\psi_3(r)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if} \quad r\le\rho+\frac{5}{3}\rho^{\alpha}\\ 0 & \text{if} \quad r\ge\rho+1.9\rho^{\alpha}\end{cases}$ and $\psi_4(r)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if} \quad r\le\rho+0.1\rho^{\alpha}\\ 1 & \text{if} \quad r\ge\rho+\frac{1}{3}\rho^{\alpha}\end{cases}$. Moreover, we require that

$$0\le|\psi_i(r)|\le 1\quad\text{and}\quad|\psi_i^{(j)}(r)|\le Cr^{-j\alpha}\quad\forall r\in\mathbb{R}^{+},i=1,2,3,4,j=1,2. \tag{2.19}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{a,b}(r)&=-\frac{1}{2}\int\frac{\lambda r}{\sqrt{a^2-\lambda r^2}\sqrt{b^2-\lambda r^2}}dr\\&=-\frac{1}{4}\log\left(2\sqrt{a^2-\lambda r^2}\sqrt{b^2-\lambda r^2}+2\lambda r^2-a^2-b^2\right)\end{aligned}$$

When $a,b=n+\mathscr{O}(k)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(r)&=\mathscr{O}(\log r)\\ \phi'(r)&=\mathscr{O}\left(r^{1-2\beta_0}(\log r)^2\right)\\ \phi''(r)&=\mathscr{O}\left(r^{-2\beta_0}(\log r)^2\right)\end{aligned}$$

We set

$$u=\psi_1u_1\exp\left(\psi_4\phi_{n,n-2k}\right)+\psi_2u_2\exp\left(\psi_3\phi_{n,n-2k}\right).$$

For the rest of step 1, we will abbreviate $\phi_{n,n-2k}$ with ϕ.

Step 1A: $r\in\left[\rho,\rho+\frac{2}{3}\rho^{\alpha}\right]$.

Since $\psi_1\equiv 1$ and $\psi_3=\psi_4$ on the support of ψ_2, then on this annulus,

$$u=u_1\exp(\psi_4\phi)+\psi_2u_2\exp(\psi_3\phi)=[u_1+\psi_2u_2]\exp(\psi_4\phi).$$

Therefore, by (2.17),

$$\exp(-\psi_4\phi)|u|\ge|u_1|-|u_2|\ge(1-e^{-C})|u_1|\ge e^{C'}|u_2|>0. \tag{2.20}$$

We see that

$$\Delta u+\lambda u=\left(D_1+\tilde{D}_1\right)u_1\exp(\psi_4\phi),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \left[\psi_2' \frac{1-2\sqrt{(n-2k)^2-\lambda r^2}}{r} + \psi_2'' - \psi_2\left(\frac{8nk+2(n+2k)\Phi'+(\Phi')^2-i\Phi''}{r^2} - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{(n-2k)^2-\lambda r^2}}\right)\right]\frac{u_2}{u_1} \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{n^2-\lambda r^2}} \\ &= \mathscr{O}\left(n\cdot r^{\beta_0/2-2}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_1 &= 2\left[-\frac{\sqrt{n^2-\lambda r^2}}{r} + \left(\psi_2' - \psi_2\frac{\sqrt{(n-2k)^2-\lambda r^2}}{r}\right)\frac{u_2}{u_1}\right]\left(\psi_4'\phi+\psi_4\phi'\right) \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{r}\left(\psi_4'\phi+\psi_4\phi'\right) + \left(\psi_4'\phi+\psi_4\phi'\right)^2 + \left(\psi_4''\phi+2\psi_4'\phi'+\psi_4\phi''\right)\right]\left(1+\psi_2\frac{u_2}{u_1}\right) \\ &= \mathscr{O}\left(r^{3\beta_0/2-2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Let $V = \left(D_1+\tilde{D}_1\right)\dfrac{u_1\exp\left(\psi_4\phi\right)}{u}$ so that by (2.20), (2.21) and (2.22), $|V| \le Cr^{3\beta_0/2-2} = Cr^{-N}$. This completes step 1A.

Step 1B: $r \in \left[\rho+\frac{4}{3}\rho^\alpha, \rho+2\rho^\alpha\right]$.

Since $\psi_2 \equiv 1$ and $\psi_3 = \psi_4$ on the support of ψ_1, then on this annulus,

$$u = u_1\exp\left(\psi_4\phi\right) + \psi_2 u_2\exp\left(\psi_3\phi\right) = \left[\psi_1 u_1 + u_2\right]\exp\left(\psi_3\phi\right).$$

On this annulus, by (2.18),

$$\exp\left(-\psi_3\phi\right)|u| \ge |u_2| - |u_1| \ge (1-e^{-C})|u_2| \ge e^{C'}|u_1| > 0. \tag{2.23}$$

We see that

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = \left(E_1+\tilde{E}_1\right)u_2\exp\left(\psi_3\phi\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \left[\frac{\psi_1'}{r}\left(1-2\sqrt{n^2-\lambda r^2}\right) + \psi_1'' + \psi_1\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{n^2-\lambda r^2}}\right]\frac{u_1}{u_2} + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{(n-2k)^2-\lambda r^2}} \\ &\quad - \frac{8nk+2(n+2k)\Phi'+(\Phi')^2-i\Phi''}{r^2} \\ &= \mathscr{O}\left(n\cdot r^{\beta_0/2-2}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_1 &= 2\left[\psi_1'\frac{u_1}{u_2} - \psi_1\frac{\sqrt{n^2-\lambda r^2}}{r}\frac{u_1}{u_2} - \frac{\sqrt{(n-2k)^2-\lambda r^2}}{r}\right]\left(\psi_3'\phi+\psi_3\phi'\right) \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{r}\left(\psi_3'\phi+\psi_3\phi'\right) + \left(\psi_3'\phi+\psi_3\phi'\right)^2 + \left(\psi_3''\phi+2\psi_3'\phi'+\psi_3\phi''\right)\right]\left(\psi_1\frac{u_1}{u_2}+1\right) \\ &= \mathscr{O}\left(r^{3\beta_0/2-2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

Let $V = \left(E_1+\tilde{E}_1\right)\dfrac{u_2\exp\left(\psi_3\phi\right)}{u}$ so that by (2.23), (2.24) and (2.25), $|V| \le Cr^{3\beta_0/2-2} = Cr^{-N}$. This completes step 1B.

Step 1C: $r \in \left[\rho+\frac{2}{3}\rho^\alpha, \rho+\frac{4}{3}\rho^\alpha\right]$.

On this annulus, $\psi_j \equiv 1$ for $j = 1,\ldots,4$, so

$$u = (u_1+u_2)\exp\left(\phi\right) = \left(r^{-n}e^{-in\varphi}\mu_n(r) - br^{-n+2k}e^{iF(\varphi)}\mu_{n-2k}(r)\right)\exp\left(\phi\right),$$

Since $u_2 = -br^{-n+2k}e^{i(n-2k)}\mu_{n-2k}(r)$ on $\left\{|\varphi-\varphi_m| \le \frac{T}{5}\right\}$ for $m = 0,1,\dots,2n+2k-1$, then we will first consider these regions. We have

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = J_1 u,$$

where

$$J_1 = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{(n-2k)^2-\lambda r^2}} + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{n^2-\lambda r^2}} + \frac{\phi'}{r} + \left(\phi'\right)^2 + \phi'' = \mathscr{O}\left(r^{-\beta_0}\log r\right). \tag{2.26}$$

As long as $\rho_0 >> 1$, then $V = J_1 \le Cr^{-N}$.
Now we will consider the annular sectors

$$P_m = \left\{(r,\varphi) : r \in \left[\rho + \tfrac{2}{3}\rho^\alpha, \rho + \tfrac{4}{3}\rho^\alpha\right], \varphi_m + \frac{T}{5} \le \varphi \le \varphi_m + \frac{4T}{5}\right\}, \quad \text{for } m = 0,1,\dots,2n+2k-1.$$

Notice that

$$|u| = |br^{-n+2k}\mu_{n-2k}(r)\exp(\phi)|\left|e^{i(F(\varphi)+n\varphi)} - \frac{\mu_n}{br^{2k}\mu_{n-2k}}\right| = |u_2|\,|\exp(\phi)|\left|e^{i(F(\varphi)+n\varphi)} - \frac{r^{-2k}\mu_n}{b\mu_{n-2k}}\right|. \tag{2.27}$$

We study the behaviour of $S(\varphi) = F(\varphi) + n\varphi$. On the segment $[\varphi_m, \varphi_{m+1}]$, by (2.16), $S(\varphi) = (2n+2k)\varphi + \Phi(\varphi)$. Thus $S(\varphi_m) = 2\pi m$ and $S(\varphi_{m+1}) = 2\pi(m+1)$. Moreover,

$$S'(\varphi) = 2n + 2k + \Phi'(\varphi) = 2n + 2k + f(\varphi).$$

By (2.9) and the conditions on n and k, it may be assumed that $S'(\varphi) > n > 0$. That is, S increases monotonically on $[\varphi_m, \varphi_{m+1}]$. Therefore, if

$$\varphi_m + T/5 \le \varphi \le \varphi_m + 4T/5,$$

then

$$2\pi m + \frac{nT}{5} \le S(\varphi) \le 2\pi(m+1) - \frac{nT}{5},$$

or

$$2\pi m + \frac{n\pi}{5(n+k)} \le S(\varphi) \le 2\pi(m+1) - \frac{n\pi}{5(n+k)}.$$

Since $k = \mathscr{O}(n^{1/2})$, then for $\varphi \in \left[\varphi_m + \dfrac{T}{5}, \varphi_m + \dfrac{4T}{5}\right]$ we may assume that

$$2\pi m + \frac{\pi}{7} \le S(\varphi) \le 2\pi(m+1) - \frac{\pi}{7}. \tag{2.28}$$

From Lemma A.1 and (2.28), it follows that $\left|e^{iS(\varphi)} - \dfrac{r^{-2k}\mu_n}{b\mu_{n-2k}}\right| \ge \dfrac{1}{2}\sin\left(\dfrac{\pi}{7}\right)$. Therefore, by (2.27),

$$|u(r,\varphi)| \ge \frac{1}{2}|u_2(r,\varphi)|\,|\exp(\phi)|\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{7}\right), \quad (r,\varphi) \in P_m,\ m = 0,1,\dots,2n+2k-1. \tag{2.29}$$

Then

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = J_1 u + K_1 u_2 \exp(\phi),$$

where

$$K_1 = -\left[\frac{8nk + 2(n+2k)\Phi' + (\Phi')^2 - i\Phi''}{r^2}\right] = \mathscr{O}\left(\frac{r^{3\beta_0/2-2}}{(\log r)^2}\right). \tag{2.30}$$

Let $V = J_1 + K_1\dfrac{u_2\exp(\phi)}{u}$. It follows from (2.26), (2.29) and (2.30) that $|V| \le Cr^{3\beta_0/2-2} = Cr^{-N}$. This completes step 1C.

Step 2: $r \in [\rho + 2\rho^\alpha, \rho + 3\rho^\alpha]$. The solution $u_2 = -br^{-n+2k}e^{iF(\varphi)}\mu_{n-2k}(r)$ is rearranged to $u_3 = -br^{-n+2k}e^{i(n+2k)\varphi}\mu_{n-2k}(r)$.

Choose a smooth cutoff function ψ such that $\psi(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & r \le \rho + \frac{7}{3}\rho^\alpha \\ 0 & r \ge \rho + \frac{8}{3}\rho^\alpha \end{cases}$ and

$$|\psi^{(j)}(r)| \le Cr^{-j\alpha} \quad j = 0,1,2, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{2.31}$$

Set $u = -br^{-n+2k} \exp i\left[\psi(r)\Phi(\varphi) + (n+2k)\varphi\right] \mu_{n-2k}(r)$. Then

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = D_2 u,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_2 = &-\frac{8nk}{r^2} - (\psi'\Phi)^2 + i\Phi\left(\frac{\psi'}{r} + \psi''\right) - 2\frac{(n+2k)\psi\Phi'}{r^2} - \frac{(\psi\Phi')^2}{r^2} + i\frac{\psi\Phi''}{r^2} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{(n-2k)^2 - \lambda r^2}} - 2i\psi'\Phi\frac{\sqrt{(n-2k)^2 - \lambda r^2}}{r} = \mathscr{O}\left(n \cdot k \cdot r^{-2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

Let $V = D_2$ so that by (2.32), $|V| \leq Cr^{3\beta_0/2-2} = Cr^{-N}$. This completes step 2.

Step 3: $r \in [\rho + 3\rho^\alpha, \rho + 4\rho^\alpha]$. The solution $u_3 = -br^{-n+2k}e^{i(n+2k)\varphi}\mu_{n-2k}(r)$ is rearranged to $u_4 = -b_1 r^{-n-2k}e^{i(n+2k)\varphi}\mu_{n+2k}(r)$.

Choose a smooth cutoff function ψ such that $\psi(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & r \leq \rho + \frac{10}{3}\rho^\alpha \\ 0 & r \geq \rho + \frac{11}{3}\rho^\alpha \end{cases}$ and ψ satisfies condition (2.31). Let $d = (\rho + 3\rho^\alpha)^{4k}\dfrac{\mu_{n-2k}(\rho + 3\rho^\alpha)}{\mu_{n+2k}(\rho + 3\rho^\alpha)}$, so that $g(r) = dr^{-4k}\dfrac{\mu_{n+2k}(r)}{\mu_{n-2k}(r)}$ satisfies $1 \geq |g(r)| \geq e^{-C}$ for all $r \in [\rho + 3\rho^\alpha, \rho + 4\rho^\alpha]$. Set $b_1 = bd$. Let

$$u = u_3\left[\psi + (1-\psi)g\right] = \begin{cases} u_3 & r \leq \rho + \frac{10}{3}\rho^\alpha \\ u_4 & r \geq \rho + \frac{11}{3}\rho^\alpha \end{cases}.$$

Let $h(r) = \psi + (1-\psi)g$. Since $g'(r) = \left[-\dfrac{4k}{r} - \dfrac{2\lambda kr}{(n+2k)(n-2k)} + \mathscr{O}\left(\dfrac{kr^3}{n^4}\right)\right]g(r)$ and $g''(r) = \left[\dfrac{4k}{r^2} - \dfrac{2\lambda k}{(n+2k)(n-2k)} + \dfrac{16k^2}{r^2} + \dfrac{16\lambda k^2}{(n+2k)(n-2k)} + \mathscr{O}\left(\dfrac{k^2r^2}{n^4}\right)\right]g(r)$, then for all $r \in [\rho + 3\rho^\alpha, \rho + 4\rho^\alpha]$,

$$|h(r)| \geq e^{-\tilde{C}}, \tag{2.33}$$

$$\left|h'(r)\right| \leq C\frac{r^{\beta_0/2-1}}{\log r}, \tag{2.34}$$

$$|\Delta h(r)| \leq C\frac{r^{\beta_0-2}}{(\log r)^2}. \tag{2.35}$$

Then

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = D_3 u,$$

where

$$D_3 = -\frac{8nk}{r^2} + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{(n-2k)^2 - \lambda r^2}} - 2\frac{\sqrt{(n-2k)^2 - \lambda r^2}}{r}\frac{h'}{h} + \frac{\Delta h}{h} = \mathscr{O}\left(n \cdot k \cdot r^{-2}\right). \tag{2.36}$$

Let $V = D_3$ so that by (2.36), $|V| \leq Cr^{3\beta_0/2-2} = Cr^{-N}$. This completes step 3.

Step 4: $r \in [\rho + 4\rho^\alpha, \rho + 6\rho^\alpha]$. The solution $u_4 = -b_1 r^{-n-2k}e^{i(n+2k)\varphi}\mu_{n+2k}(r)$ is rearranged to $u_5 = ar^{-(n+k)}e^{-i(n+k)\varphi}\mu_{n+k}(r)$.

Choose $a = b_1(\rho + 5\rho^\alpha)^{-k}\dfrac{\mu_{n+2k}(\rho + 5\rho^\alpha)}{\mu_{n+k}(\rho + 5\rho^\alpha)}$ so that $|u_4(\rho + 5\rho^\alpha, \cdot)| = |u_5(\rho + 5\rho^\alpha, \cdot)|$. Since $|u_5(r,\varphi)/u_4(r,\varphi)| = \left|\left(\dfrac{r}{\rho + 5\rho^\alpha}\right)^k \dfrac{\mu_{n+2k}(\rho + 5\rho^\alpha)}{\mu_{n+k}(\rho + 5\rho^\alpha)}\dfrac{\mu_{n+k}(r)}{\mu_{n+2k}(r)}\right|$, then by the assumptions on k and ρ,

$$|u_5(r,\varphi)/u_4(r,\varphi)| \leq e^{-C}, \quad r \in \left[\rho + 4\rho^\alpha, \rho + \tfrac{14}{3}\rho^\alpha\right], \tag{2.37}$$

$$|u_5(r,\varphi)/u_4(r,\varphi)| \geq e^{C}, \quad r \in \left[\rho + \tfrac{16}{3}\rho^\alpha, \rho + 6\rho^\alpha\right]. \tag{2.38}$$

Choose smooth cutoff functions ψ_1, ψ_2, ψ_3, ψ_4 such that $\psi_1(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad r \leq \rho + \frac{16}{3}\rho^\alpha \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad r \geq \rho + \frac{17}{3}\rho^\alpha \end{cases}$, $\psi_2(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad r \leq \rho + \frac{13}{3}\rho^\alpha \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad r \geq \rho + \frac{14}{3}\rho^\alpha \end{cases}$, $\psi_3(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad r \leq \rho + \frac{17}{3}\rho^\alpha \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad r \geq \rho + 5.9\rho^\alpha \end{cases}$ and $\psi_4(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad r \leq \rho + 4.1\rho^\alpha \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad r \geq \rho + \frac{13}{3}\rho^\alpha \end{cases}$. Moreover, we require that each cutoff function satisfy condition (2.19).

We set

$$u = \psi_1 u_4 \exp\left(\psi_4 \phi_{n+k,n+2k}\right) + \psi_2 u_5 \exp\left(\psi_3 \phi_{n+k,n+2k}\right).$$

For the rest of step 4, we will abbreviate $\phi_{n+k,n+2k}$ with ϕ.

Step 4A: $r \in \left[\rho + 4\rho^\alpha, \rho + \frac{14}{3}\rho^\alpha\right]$. Since $\psi_1 \equiv 1$ and $\psi_3 = \psi_4$ on the support of ψ_2, then

$$u = u_4 \exp\left(\psi_4 \phi\right) + \psi_2 u_5 \exp\left(\psi_3 \phi\right) = \left[u_4 + \psi_2 u_5\right] \exp\left(\psi_4 \phi\right).$$

On this annulus, by (2.37),

$$\exp\left(-\psi_4 \phi\right) |u| \geq |u_4| - |u_5| \geq (1 - e^{-C})|u_4| \geq e^{C'} |u_5| > 0. \tag{2.39}$$

We have

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = \left(D_4 + \tilde{D}_4\right) u_4 \exp\left(\psi_4 \phi\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_4 &= \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{(n+2k)^2 - \lambda r^2}} + \left[\frac{\psi_2'}{r} + \psi_2'' - \frac{2\sqrt{(n+k)^2 - \lambda r^2}}{r}\psi_2' + \frac{\psi_2 \lambda}{\sqrt{(n+k)^2 - \lambda r^2}}\right] \frac{u_5}{u_4} \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(n \cdot r^{\beta_0/2 - 2}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_4 &= 2\left[-\frac{\sqrt{(n+2k)^2 - \lambda r^2}}{r} + \left(\psi_2' - \psi_2 \frac{\sqrt{(n+k)^2 - \lambda r^2}}{r}\right)\frac{u_5}{u_4}\right]\left(\psi_4' \phi + \psi_4 \phi'\right) \\ &\quad + \left(1 + \psi_2 \frac{u_5}{u_4}\right)\left[\frac{1}{r}\left(\psi_4' \phi + \psi_4 \phi'\right) + \left(\psi_4' \phi + \psi_4 \phi'\right)^2 + \left(\psi_4'' \phi + 2\psi_4' \phi' + \psi_4 \phi''\right)\right] \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(r^{3\beta_0/2 - 2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

Let $V = \left(D_4 + \tilde{D}_4\right) \dfrac{u_4 \exp\left(\psi_4 \phi\right)}{u}$ so that by (2.39) - (2.41), $|V| \leq C r^{3\beta_0/2 - 2} = C r^{-N}$. This completes step 4A.

Step 4B: $r \in \left[\rho + \frac{16}{3}\rho^\alpha, \rho + 6\rho^\alpha\right]$.

On this annulus, since $\psi_2 \equiv 1$ and $\psi_3 = \psi_4$ on the support of ψ_1, then

$$u = \psi_1 u_4 \exp\left(\psi_4 \phi\right) + u_5 \exp\left(\psi_3 \phi\right) = \left[\psi_1 u_4 + u_5\right] \exp\left(\psi_3 \phi\right).$$

By (2.38),

$$\exp\left(-\psi_3 \phi\right) |u| \geq |u_5| - |u_4| \geq (1 - e^{-C})|u_5| \geq e^{C'} |u_4| > 0. \tag{2.42}$$

We have

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = \left(E_4 + \tilde{E}_4\right) u_5 \exp\left(\psi_3 \phi\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_4 &= \left[\frac{\psi_1'}{r} + \psi_1'' - 2\psi_1' \frac{\sqrt{(n+2k)^2 - \lambda r^2}}{r} + \psi_1 \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{(n+2k)^2 - \lambda r^2}}\right] \frac{u_4}{u_5} + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{(n+k)^2 - \lambda r^2}} \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(n \cdot r^{\beta_0/2 - 2}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_4 &= 2\left[\left(\psi_1' - \psi_1 \frac{\sqrt{(n+2k)^2 - \lambda r^2}}{r}\right)\frac{u_4}{u_5} - \frac{\sqrt{(n+k)^2 - \lambda r^2}}{r}\right]\left(\psi_3' \phi + \psi_3 \phi'\right) \\ &\quad + \left(\psi_1 \frac{u_4}{u_5} + 1\right)\left[\frac{1}{r}\left(\psi_3' \phi + \psi_3 \phi'\right) + \left(\psi_3' \phi + \psi_3 \phi'\right)^2 + \left(\psi_3'' \phi + 2\psi_3' \phi' + \psi_3 \phi''\right)\right] \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(r^{3\beta_0/2 - 2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

Let $V = \left(E_4 + \tilde{E}_4\right) \dfrac{u_5 \exp\left(\psi_3 \phi\right)}{u}$ so that by (2.42) - (2.44), $|V| \leq C r^{3\beta_0/2 - 2} = C r^{-N}$. This completes step 4B.

Step 4C: $r \in \left[\rho + \frac{14}{3}\rho^\alpha, \rho + \frac{16}{3}\rho^\alpha\right]$.

On this annulus, since all cutoff functions are equivalent to zero, $u = (u_4 + u_5)\exp(\phi)$ and

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = J_4 u,$$

where

$$J_4 = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{(n+2k)^2 - \lambda r^2}} + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{(n+k)^2 - \lambda r^2}} + \frac{\phi'}{r} + \left(\phi'\right)^2 + \phi'' = \mathscr{O}\left(r^{-\beta_0}\log r\right), \tag{2.45}$$

As long as $\rho_0 >> 1$, then with $V = J_4$, $|V| \leq Cr^{-N}$.
We now prove the last statement of the lemma. We first define a function $M(r)$ that will help estimate $m(r) = \max\{|u(r,\varphi)| : 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi\}$. Let

$$M(r) = \begin{cases} r^{-n}\mu_n(r)\exp\left(\psi_4\phi_{n,n-2k}\right) & \rho \leq r \leq \rho + \rho^\alpha \\ br^{-n+2k}\mu_{n-2k}(r)\exp\left(\psi_3\phi_{n,n-2k}\right) & \rho + \rho^\alpha \leq r \leq \rho + 2\rho^\alpha \\ br^{-n+2k}\mu_{n-2k}(r) & \rho + 2\rho^\alpha \leq r \leq \rho + 3\rho^\alpha \\ br^{-n+2k}\mu_{n-2k}(r)h(r) & \rho + 3\rho^\alpha \leq r \leq \rho + 4\rho^\alpha \\ b_1 r^{-(n+2k)}\mu_{n+2k}(r)\exp\left(\psi_4\phi_{n+k,n+2k}\right) & \rho + 4\rho^\alpha \leq r \leq \rho + 5\rho^\alpha \\ ar^{-(n+k)}\mu_{n+k}(r)\exp\left(\psi_3\phi_{n+k,n+2k}\right) & \rho + 5\rho^\alpha \leq r \leq \rho + 6\rho^\alpha \end{cases}.$$

Note that $M(r)$ is equal to the modulus of the functions $u_1,\ldots,u_5$ from which our solution $u(r,\varphi)$ is constructed. Also, $M(r)$ is a continuous, piecewise smooth function for which $m(r) \leq 2M(r)$ and $M(\rho) = m(\rho)$. Therefore,

$$\ln m(r) - \ln m(\rho) \leq \ln 2 + \ln M(r) - \ln M(\rho).$$

Since $\mu_n(r) = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda r^2}{4n} + \mathscr{O}\left(\frac{r^4}{n^3}\right)\right)$, $\psi_j' = \mathscr{O}\left(r^{\beta_0/2-1}\right)$, $\phi = \mathscr{O}(\log r)$ and $\phi'(r) = \mathscr{O}\left(\frac{r}{n^2}\right)$, then everywhere on $[\rho, \rho + 6\rho^\alpha]$, except at a finite number of points where $M(r)$ is not differentiable, we have

$$\frac{d}{dr}\ln M(r) = \frac{-n + \mathscr{O}(k)}{r} + \mathscr{O}\left(\frac{r}{n}\right) + \mathscr{O}\left(r^{\beta_0/2-1}\log r\right) \leq -c\frac{r^{\beta_0-1}}{\log r},$$

by the conditions on n, k. Therefore,

$$\ln m(r) - \ln m(\rho) \leq \ln 2 + \int_\rho^r (\ln M(t))' dt \leq \ln 2 - c\int_\rho^r \frac{t^{\beta_0-1}}{\log t}dt,$$

proving the lemma. □

Remark. If $\lambda = 0$, then $\mu_\cdot \equiv 1$ and $\phi_{\cdot,\cdot} \equiv 0$, so the above construction simplifies greatly. If fact, if we take $n \sim \rho^{\beta_0}$ and $k \sim \rho^{\beta_0/2}$, then condition (2.2) is satisfied and we get (2.8) as we did with the previous construction.

We will now present the proof of Lemma 2.2, the slightly less-complicated construction. Many of the steps in this proof are identical to those in the proof of Lemma 2.1, so we will often refer to them.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. As r increases from ρ to $\rho + 6\rho^\alpha$, we rearrange equation (2.4) and its solution u so that all of the above conditions are met. This process is broken down into four major steps.

Throughout this proof, the number C is a constant that is independent of ρ, n and k.
Step 1: $r \in [\rho, \rho + 2\rho^\alpha]$. During this step, the function $u_1 = r^{-n}e^{-in\varphi}\mu_n(r)$ is rearranged to $u_2 = -br^{-n+2k}e^{iF(\varphi)}\mu_{n-2k}(r)$, both of which satisfy an equation of the form (2.4), where b and F are as in the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Choose smooth cutoff functions ψ_1, ψ_2 as in step 1 of the proof of Lemma 2.1. We set

$$u = \psi_1 u_1 + \psi_2 u_2.$$

Step 1A: $r \in \left[\rho, \rho + \frac{2}{3}\rho^\alpha\right]$. On this annulus, $\psi_1 \equiv 1$ and by (2.17),

$$|u| \geq |u_1| - |u_2| \geq (1 - e^{-C})|u_1| \geq e^{C'}|u_2| > 0. \tag{2.46}$$

Let $W = w(i\sin\varphi, -i\cos\varphi)$ for w to be determined. Then

$$W \cdot \nabla u = wd_1u_1,$$

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = D_1 u_1,$$

where

$$d_1 = -\frac{n}{r} + \psi_2 \frac{n+2k+\Phi'}{r}\frac{u_2}{u_1} = \mathscr{O}\left(r^{\beta_0 - 1}\right), \tag{2.47}$$

and D_1 is as in (2.21). Since $\left|\frac{u_2}{u_1}\right| \le e^{-C}$ then $d_1 \neq 0$ and $|d_1| \ge Cr^{\beta_0-1}$. Let $w = \frac{D_1}{d_1}$ so that by (2.47) and (2.21), $|W| \le Cr^{\beta_0/2-1} = Cr^{-P}$. This completes step 1A.

Step 1B: $r \in \left[\rho + \frac{4}{3}\rho^{\alpha}, \rho + 2\rho^{\alpha}\right]$. On this annulus, $\psi_2 \equiv 1$ and by (2.18),

$$|u| \ge |u_2| - |u_1| \ge (1 - e^{-C})|u_2| \ge e^{C'}|u_1| > 0. \tag{2.48}$$

Let $W = w(i\sin\varphi, -i\cos\varphi)$ for w to be determined. Then

$$W \cdot \nabla u = we_1u_2,$$
$$\Delta u + \lambda u = E_1 u_2,$$

where

$$e_1 = -\psi_1 \frac{n}{r}\frac{u_1}{u_2} + \frac{n+2k+\Phi'}{r} = \mathscr{O}\left(r^{\beta_0-1}\right), \tag{2.49}$$

and E_1 is as in (2.24). Since $\left|\frac{u_1}{u_2}\right| \le e^{-C}$ then $e_1 \neq 0$ and $|e_1| \ge Cr^{\beta_0-1}$. Let $w = \frac{E_1}{e_1}$ so that by (2.49) and (2.24), $|W| \le Cr^{\beta_0/2-1} = Cr^{-P}$. This completes step 1B.

Step 1C: $r \in \left[\rho + \frac{2}{3}\rho^{\alpha}, \rho + \frac{4}{3}\rho^{\alpha}\right]$.

On this annulus, $\psi_1 \equiv 1 \equiv \psi_2$, so

$$u = u_1 + u_2 = r^{-n}e^{-in\varphi}\mu_n(r) - br^{-n+2k}e^{iF(\varphi)}\mu_{n-2k}(r),$$

Let $W = w_1(\cos\varphi, \sin\varphi) + w_2(i\sin\varphi, -i\cos\varphi)$ for w_1, w_2 to be determined. Then

$$W \cdot \nabla u = w_1 j_1^1 u_1 + w_2 j_1^2 u_1 + w_1 \tilde{j}_1^1 u_2 + w_2 \tilde{j}_1^2 u_2,$$
$$\Delta u + \lambda u = J_1 u_1 + \tilde{J}_1 u_2,$$

where

$$j_1^1 = -\frac{\sqrt{n^2 - \lambda r^2}}{r} = \mathscr{O}(r^{\beta_0-1}), \tag{2.50}$$

$$j_1^2 = -\frac{n}{r} = \mathscr{O}(r^{\beta_0-1}), \tag{2.51}$$

$$\tilde{j}_1^1 = -\frac{\sqrt{(n-2k)^2 - \lambda r^2}}{r} = \mathscr{O}(r^{\beta_0-1}), \tag{2.52}$$

$$\tilde{j}_1^2 = \frac{n+2k+\Phi'}{r} = \mathscr{O}(r^{\beta_0-1}), \tag{2.53}$$

$$J_1 = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{n^2 - \lambda r^2}} = \mathscr{O}\left(r^{-\beta_0}\right), \tag{2.54}$$

$$\tilde{J}_1 = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{(n-2k)^2 - \lambda r^2}} - \frac{8nk + 2(n+2k)\Phi' + (\Phi')^2 - i\Phi''}{r^2} = \mathscr{O}\left(r^{3\beta_0/2-2}\right). \tag{2.55}$$

If we let

$$w_1 = \frac{\tilde{j}_1^2 J_1 - j_1^2 \tilde{J}_1}{j_1^1 \tilde{j}_1^2 - j_1^2 \tilde{j}_1^1} = \frac{r^2}{n\sqrt{(n-2k)^2 - \lambda r^2} + (n+2k+\Phi')\sqrt{n^2 - \lambda r^2}}\left(j_1^2 \tilde{J}_1 - \tilde{j}_1^2 J_1\right) = \mathscr{O}\left(r^{\beta_0/2-1}\right)$$

$$w_2 = \frac{j_1^1 \tilde{J}_1 - \tilde{j}_1^1 J_1}{j_1^1 \tilde{j}_1^2 - j_1^2 \tilde{j}_1^1} = \frac{r^2}{n\sqrt{(n-2k)^2 - \lambda r^2} + (n+2k+\Phi')\sqrt{n^2 - \lambda r^2}}\left(\tilde{j}_1^1 J_1 - j_1^1 \tilde{J}_1\right) = \mathscr{O}\left(r^{\beta_0/2-1}\right),$$

then (2.4) is satisfied. Since $\beta_0/2-1=\frac{1}{2}(2-2P)-1=-P$, then we see that $|W|\le Cr^{-P}$. This completes step 1C.

Step 2: $r\in[\rho+2\rho^\alpha,\rho+3\rho^\alpha]$. The solution $u_2=-br^{-n+2k}e^{iF(\varphi)}\mu_{n-2k}(r)$ is rearranged to $u_3=-br^{-n+2k}e^{i(n+2k)\varphi}\mu_{n-2k}(r)$ by setting $u=-br^{-n+2k}\exp i\left[\psi(r)\Phi(\varphi)+(n+2k)\varphi\right]\mu_{n-2k}(r)$, as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. Let $W=w(\cos\varphi,\sin\varphi)$ for w to be determined. Then

$$\begin{aligned}W\cdot\nabla u&=wd_2u,\\ \Delta u+\lambda u&=D_2u,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$d_2=-\frac{\sqrt{(n-2k)^2-\lambda r^2}}{r}+i\psi'\Phi=\mathscr{O}\left(r^{\beta_0-1}\right),\tag{2.56}$$

and D_2 is as in (2.32). By the conditions on n and k, $d_2\neq 0$ so $|d_2|\ge Cr^{\beta_0-1}$. Let $w=\dfrac{D_2}{d_2}$ so that by (2.56) and (2.32), $|W|\le Cr^{\beta_0/2-1}=Cr^{-P}$. This completes step 2.

Step 3: $r\in[\rho+3\rho^\alpha,\rho+4\rho^\alpha]$. The solution $u_3=-br^{-n+2k}e^{i(n+2k)\varphi}\mu_{n-2k}(r)$ is rearranged to $u_4=-b_1r^{-n-2k}e^{i(n+2k)\varphi}\mu_{n+2k}(r)$ by setting $u=u_3\left[\psi+(1-\psi)g\right]=u_3h$, as in Lemma 2.1. Let $W=w(\cos\varphi,\sin\varphi)$ for some w to be determined. Then

$$\begin{aligned}W\cdot\nabla u&=wd_3u,\\ \Delta u+\lambda u&=D_3u,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$d_3=-\frac{\sqrt{(n-2k)^2-\lambda r^2}}{r}+\frac{h'}{h}=\mathscr{O}\left(r^{\beta_0-1}\right),\tag{2.57}$$

and D_3 is as in (2.36). By (2.34) and the conditions on n and k, $d_3\neq 0$ so that $|d_3|\ge Cr^{\beta_0-1}$. Let $w=\dfrac{D_3}{d_3}$ so that by (2.57) and (2.36), $|W|\le Cr^{\beta_0/2-1}=Cr^{-P}$. This completes step 3.

Step 4: $r\in[\rho+4\rho^\alpha,\rho+6\rho^\alpha]$. The solution $u_4=-b_1r^{-n-2k}e^{i(n+2k)\varphi}\mu_{n+2k}(r)$ is rearranged to $u_5=ar^{-(n+k)}e^{-i(n+k)\varphi}\mu_{n+k}(r)$. Choose smooth cutoff functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 as in Lemma 2.1 and set

$$u=\psi_1u_4+\psi_2u_5.$$

Step 4A: $r\in\left[\rho+4\rho^\alpha,\rho+\frac{14}{3}\rho^\alpha\right]$.

On this annulus, by (2.37),

$$|u|\ge|u_4|-|u_5|\ge(1-e^{-C})|u_4|\ge e^{C'}|u_5|>0.\tag{2.58}$$

Let $W=w(i\sin\varphi,-i\cos\varphi)$ for w to be determined. Since $\psi_1\equiv 1$ in this annulus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}W\cdot\nabla u&=wd_4u_4,\\ \Delta u+\lambda u&=D_4u_4,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$d_4=\frac{n+2k}{r}-\psi_2\frac{n+k}{r}\frac{u_5}{u_4}=\mathscr{O}\left(r^{\beta_0-1}\right),\tag{2.59}$$

and D_4 is as in (2.40). Since $\left|\dfrac{u_5}{u_4}\right|\le e^{-C}$, then $|d_4|\ge Cr^{\beta_0-1}$. Let $w=\dfrac{D_4}{d_4}$ so that by (2.59) and (2.40), $|W|\le Cr^{\beta_0/2-1}=Cr^{-P}$. This completes step 4A.

Step 4B: $r\in\left[\rho+\frac{16}{3}\rho^\alpha,\rho+6\rho^\alpha\right]$.

On this annulus, by (2.38),

$$|u|\ge|u_5|-|u_4|\ge(1-e^{-C})|u_5|\ge e^{C'}|u_4|>0.\tag{2.60}$$

Let $W = w(i\sin\varphi, -i\cos\varphi)$ for w to be determined. Since $\psi_2 \equiv 1$ in this annulus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W\cdot\nabla u &= we_4u_5,\\ \Delta u + \lambda u &= E_4u_5, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$e_4 = \psi_1 \frac{n+2k}{r}\frac{u_4}{u_5} - \frac{n+k}{r} = \mathscr{O}\left(r^{\beta_0-1}\right), \tag{2.61}$$

and E_4 is as in (2.43). Since $\left|\frac{u_4}{u_5}\right| < e^{-C}$, then $|e_4| \geq Cr^{\beta_0-1}$. Let $w = \frac{E_4}{e_4}$ so that by (2.61) and (2.43), $|W| \leq Cr^{\beta_0/2-1} = Cr^{-P}$. This completes step 4B.

Step 4C: $r \in \left[\rho + \frac{14}{3}\rho^\alpha, \rho + \frac{16}{3}\rho^\alpha\right]$.

On this annulus, $u = u_4 + u_5$. Let $W = w_1(\cos\varphi, \sin\varphi) + w_2(i\sin\varphi, -i\cos\varphi)$ for w_1, w_2 to be determined. Then

$$\begin{aligned} W\cdot\nabla u &= w_1 j_4^1 u_4 + w_2 j_4^2 u_4 + w_1 \tilde{j}_4^1 u_5 + w_2 \tilde{j}_4^2 u_5,\\ \Delta u + \lambda u &= J_4u_4 + \tilde{J}_4u_5, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$j_4^1 = -\frac{\sqrt{(n+2k)^2-\lambda r^2}}{r} = \mathscr{O}(r^{\beta_0-1}), \tag{2.62}$$

$$j_4^2 = \frac{n+2k}{r} = \mathscr{O}(r^{\beta_0-1}), \tag{2.63}$$

$$\tilde{j}_4^1 = -\frac{\sqrt{(n+k)^2-\lambda r^2}}{r} = \mathscr{O}(r^{\beta_0-1}), \tag{2.64}$$

$$\tilde{j}_4^2 = -\frac{n+k}{r} = \mathscr{O}(r^{\beta_0-1}), \tag{2.65}$$

$$J_4 = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{(n+2k)^2-\lambda r^2}} = \mathscr{O}\left(r^{-\beta_0}\right), \tag{2.66}$$

$$\tilde{J}_4 = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{(n+k)^2-\lambda r^2}} = \mathscr{O}\left(r^{-\beta_0}\right). \tag{2.67}$$

If we let

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \frac{\tilde{j}_4^2 J_4 - j_4^2 \tilde{J}_4}{j_4^1 \tilde{j}_4^2 - j_4^2 \tilde{j}_4^1} = -\frac{\lambda r}{\sqrt{(n+k)^2-\lambda r^2}\sqrt{(n+2k)^2-\lambda r^2}}\left(\frac{(n+k)\sqrt{(n+k)^2-\lambda r^2}+(n+2k)\sqrt{(n+2k)^2-\lambda r^2}}{(n+k)\sqrt{(n+k)^2-\lambda r^2}+(n+2k)\sqrt{(n+2k)^2-\lambda r^2}}\right)\\ &= \mathscr{O}\left(r^{1-2\beta_0}\right)\\ w_2 &= \frac{j_4^1 \tilde{J}_4 - \tilde{j}_4^1 J_4}{j_4^1 \tilde{j}_4^2 - j_4^2 \tilde{j}_4^1} = -\frac{\lambda rk(2n+3)}{\sqrt{(n+k)^2-\lambda r^2}\sqrt{(n+2k)^2-\lambda r^2}\left((n+k)\sqrt{(n+k)^2-\lambda r^2}+(n+2k)\sqrt{(n+2k)^2-\lambda r^2}\right)}\\ &= \mathscr{O}\left(r^{1-5\beta_0/2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

then (2.4) is satisfied. Since $\beta_0 > 1$, then $1-2\beta_0 \leq \beta_0/2-1$ and $|W| \leq Cr^{-P}$. This completes step 4C.

We now prove the last statement of the lemma. We first define a function $M(r)$ that will help estimate $m(r) = \max\{|u(r,\varphi)| : 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi\}$. Let

$$M(r) = \begin{cases} r^{-n}\mu_n(r) & \rho \leq r \leq \rho+\rho^\alpha \\ br^{-(n-2k)}\mu_{n-2k}(r) & \rho+\rho^\alpha \leq r \leq \rho+3\rho^\alpha \\ br^{-n+2k}\mu_{n-2k}(r)h(r) & \rho+3\rho^\alpha \leq r \leq \rho+4\rho^\alpha \\ b_1r^{-(n+2k)}\mu_{n+2k}(r) & \rho+4\rho^\alpha \leq r \leq \rho+5\rho^\alpha \\ ar^{-(n+k)}\mu_{n+k}(r) & \rho+5\rho^\alpha \leq r \leq \rho+6\rho^\alpha \end{cases}.$$

Note that $M(r)$ is equal to the modulus of the functions $u_1,\ldots,u_5$ from which our solution $u(r,\varphi)$ is constructed. Also, $M(r)$ is a continuous, piecewise smooth function for which $m(r)\leq 2M(r)$ and $M(\rho)=m(\rho)$. Therefore,

$$\ln m(r)-\ln m(\rho)\leq \ln 2+\ln M(r)-\ln M(\rho).$$

Since $\mu_n(r)=\exp\left(\frac{\lambda r^2}{4n}+\mathscr{O}\left(\frac{r^4}{n^3}\right)\right)$, then everywhere on $[\rho,\rho+6\rho^{\alpha}]$, except at a finite number of points where $M(r)$ is not differentiable, we have

$$\frac{d}{dr}\ln M(r)=\frac{-n+\mathscr{O}(k)}{r}+\mathscr{O}\left(\frac{r}{n}\right)\leq -cr^{\beta_0-1},$$

by the conditions on n, k. Therefore,

$$\ln m(r)-\ln m(\rho)\leq \ln 2+\int_{\rho}^{r}(\ln M(t))'dt\leq \ln 2-c\int_{\rho}^{r}t^{\beta_0-1}dt,$$

proving the lemma. □

We now use the lemmas to construct examples and prove Proposition 1.

Proof of Proposition 1. We recursively define a sequence of numbers $\{\rho_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$. For ρ_1, we choose a sufficiently large positive number. Then if ρ_j has been chosen, we set $\rho_{j+1}=\rho_j+6\rho_j^{\alpha}$. Suppose that N and P are chosen so that $\beta_0=\frac{4-2N}{3}>1$. We then let $n_j=\left\lfloor\frac{\rho_j^{\beta_0}}{\log\rho_j}\right\rfloor=\frac{\rho_j^{\beta_0}}{\log\rho_j}-\varepsilon_j$ and $k_j=n_{j+1}-n_j$. In order to use Lemma 2.1, we must estimate k_j:

$$\begin{aligned}
k_j&=\frac{\rho_{j+1}^{\beta_0}}{\log\rho_{j+1}}-\frac{\rho_j^{\beta_0}}{\log\rho_j}+\varepsilon_j-\varepsilon_{j+1}\\
&=\frac{(\rho_j+6\rho_j^{\alpha})^{\beta_0}}{\log\left(\rho_j+6\rho_j^{\alpha}\right)}-\frac{\rho_j^{\beta_0}}{\log\rho_j}-\Delta_{\varepsilon}\\
&=\frac{\rho_j^{\beta_0}}{\log\rho_j}\left[(1+6\rho_j^{\alpha-1})^{\beta_0}\left(1+\frac{\log\left(1+6\rho_j^{\alpha-1}\right)}{\log\rho_j}\right)^{-1}-1\right]-\Delta_{\varepsilon}\\
&=\frac{\rho_j^{\beta_0}}{\log\rho_j}\left[\left(1+6\beta_0\rho_j^{\alpha-1}+18\beta_0(\beta_0-1)\rho_j^{2\alpha-2}+\mathscr{O}(\rho_j^{3\alpha-3})\right)\left(1-\frac{6\rho_j^{\alpha-1}}{\log\rho_j}+\mathscr{O}\left(\frac{\rho_j^{2\alpha-2}}{\log\rho_j}\right)\right)-1\right]-\Delta_{\varepsilon}\\
&=\frac{\rho_j^{\beta_0}}{\log\rho_j}\left[6\left(\beta_0-\frac{1}{\log\rho_j}\right)\rho_j^{\alpha-1}+18\beta_0(\beta_0-1)\rho_j^{2\alpha-2}+\mathscr{O}\left(\frac{\rho_j^{2\alpha-2}}{\log\rho_j}\right)\right]+\mathscr{O}(1)\\
&=6\left(\beta_0-\frac{1}{\log\rho_j}\right)\frac{\rho_j^{\beta_0/2}}{\log\rho_j}+\frac{18\beta_0(\beta_0-1)}{\log\rho_j}+\mathscr{O}\left(\frac{1}{(\log\rho_j)^2}\right)+\mathscr{O}(1)\\
&\leq 6\left(\beta_0-\frac{1}{\log\rho_j}\right)\frac{\rho_j^{\beta_0/2}}{\log\rho_j}+10,
\end{aligned}$$

for a sufficiently large ρ_1. Therefore, $\left|k_j-6\left(\beta_0-\frac{1}{\log\rho_j}\right)\frac{\rho_j^{\beta_0/2}}{\log\rho_j}\right|\leq 10.$

If N and P are chosen so that $\beta_0=2-2P>1$, then we let $n_j=\lfloor\rho_j^{\beta_0}\rfloor=\rho_j^{\beta_0}-\varepsilon_j$ and $k_j=n_{j+1}-n_j$. In order to use

Lemma 2.2, we must estimate k_j:

$$\begin{aligned}
k_j &= \rho_{j+1}^{\beta_0} - \rho_j^{\beta_0} + \varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_{j+1} \\
&= (\rho_j + 6\rho_j^{\alpha})^{\beta_0} - \rho_j^{\beta_0} - \Delta_\varepsilon \\
&= \rho_j^{\beta_0}\left[(1+6\rho_j^{\alpha-1})^{\beta_0} - 1\right] - \Delta_\varepsilon \\
&= \rho_j^{\beta_0}\left[1 + 6\beta_0\rho_j^{\alpha-1} + 18\beta_0(\beta_0-1)\rho_j^{2\alpha-2} + \mathscr{O}(\rho_j^{3\alpha-3}) - 1\right] - \Delta_\varepsilon \\
&= 6\beta_0\rho_j^{\beta_0/2} + 18\beta_0(\beta_0-1) + \mathscr{O}\left(\rho_j^{-\beta_0/2}\right) \\
&\le 6\beta_0\rho_j^{\beta_0/2} + 40,
\end{aligned}$$

for a sufficiently large ρ_1. Therefore, $\left|k_j - 6\beta_0\rho_j^{\beta_0/2}\right| \le 40$.

For $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, we let u_j denote the solutions of equations of the form (2.1) or (2.4), denoted by $L_j u_j = 0$. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, these equations and their solutions be constructed in the annulus $\left\{\rho_j \le r \le \rho_{j+1}\right\}$. Note that $u_j(\rho_j, \varphi) = \rho_j^{-n_j} e^{-in_j\varphi}\mu_{n_j}(\rho_j)$ and $u_j(\rho_{j+1}, \varphi) = a_j \rho_{j+1}^{-n_{j+1}} e^{-in_{j+1}\varphi}\mu_{n_{j+1}}(\rho_{j+1})$.

Set $\rho_0 = 0$ and denote by $g_0(r)$ a smooth function in $[0, \rho_1]$ such that $g_0(r) = r^{n_1}$ in a neighbourhood of 0 while $g_0(r) = r^{-n_1}$ in a neighbourbood of the point ρ_1. We suppose also that $g_0(r) > 0$ on $(0, \rho_1)$. Let $u_0 = g_0(r)e^{-in_1\varphi}\mu_{n_1}(r)$ and denote by $L_0 u_0 = 0$ the equation of the form (2.1) or (2.4) which the function u_0 satisfies.

We define a differential operator L in $\mathbb{R}^2$ by setting $L = L_j$ for $\rho_j \le r \le \rho_{j+1}$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots$. We define a C^2 function u on $\mathbb{R}^2$ by setting $u(r, \varphi) = u_j(r, \varphi)$ if $\rho_j \le r \le \rho_{j+1}$, $j = 0, 1$, and

$$u(r, \varphi) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} a_i\right) u_j(r, \varphi),$$

if $\rho_j \le r \le \rho_{j+1}$, $j = 2, 3, \ldots$. Then it is clear that u satisfies $Lu = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

We must now estimate $|u|$. Set $m(r) = \max\{|u(r, \varphi)| : 0 \le \varphi \le 2\pi\}$. For a given $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we choose $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $\rho_\ell \le r \le \rho_{\ell+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln m(r) =& (\ln m(r) - \ln m(\rho_\ell)) + (\ln m(\rho_\ell) - \ln m(\rho_{\ell-1})) \\
&+ \cdots + (\ln m(\rho_2) - \ln m(\rho_1)) + \ln m(\rho_1)
\end{aligned}$$

If $\beta_0 = \dfrac{4-2N}{3}$, then by Lemma 2.1, for sufficiently large r, we have

$$\ln m(r) \le \ell \ln 2 - c\int_{\rho_1}^{r} \frac{t^{\beta_0 - 1}}{\log t}\, dt + \ln m(\rho_1).$$

Since $\ell \lesssim r$ and $\beta_0 > 1$, then we get

$$\ln m(r) \le C - c\frac{r^{\beta_0}}{\log r}.$$

Therefore,

$$m(r) \le C\exp\left(-c\frac{r^{\beta_0}}{\log r}\right)$$

and (2.3) holds. If $\beta_0 = 2 - 2P$, then by Lemma 2.2, for sufficiently large r, we have

$$\ln m(r) \le \ell \ln 2 - c\int_{\rho_1}^{r} t^{\beta_0 - 1}\, dt + \ln m(\rho_1).$$

Since $\ell \lesssim r$ and $\beta_0 > 1$, then we get

$$\ln m(r) \le C - cr^{\beta_0}.$$

Therefore,

$$m(r) \le C\exp\left(-cr^{\beta_0}\right),$$

so (2.6) holds. This completes the constructions of the examples for Theorem 2(a). □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2(B)

The following lemma is based on the observation that $u_1(r) = \exp\left(\operatorname{sgn}(\arg\lambda)\sqrt{-\lambda}r\right)$ satisfies an equation of the form (1.1) with either $V = Cr^{-1}$ and $W \equiv 0$, or $V \equiv 0$ and $W = Cr^{-1}$. And whenever $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\arg\lambda \in [-\pi,\pi)\setminus\{0\}$, $|u_1(r)| \lesssim \exp(-Cr)$. By adding lower order terms to the exponent of u_1, we can make the potentials decay faster and faster. This lemma serves as the main building block in the constructions that prove Theorem 2(b)

Lemma 3.1. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a function u_m such that*

$$\Delta u_m + \lambda u_m = \left[\frac{d_m}{r^m} + \frac{d_{m+1}}{r^{m+1}} + \ldots + \frac{d_{2(m-1)}}{r^{2(m-1)}}\right] u_m, \tag{3.1}$$

for some constants $d_m, d_{m+1}, \ldots d_{2(m-1)} \in \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ then there exist positive constants C_m and R_m such that

$$|u_m(x)| \leq \exp(-C_m|x|), \tag{3.2}$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial_r u_m(r)}{u_m(r)}\right| \geq C_m, \tag{3.3}$$

for all $|x| \geq R_m$.

We will prove Lemma 3.1 by induction. All of our functions depend only on the radius.

Proof. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we write $\lambda = |\lambda|e^{i\arg\lambda}$, where $\arg\lambda \in [-\pi,\pi)$. By our restriction, $\arg\lambda \neq 0$.
Base cases: Let $u_1(r) = \exp(f_1(r))$, where $f_1(r) = \operatorname{sgn}(\arg\lambda)\sqrt{-\lambda}r$. Since $\Re\left(\operatorname{sgn}(\arg\lambda)\sqrt{-\lambda}\right) < 0$, then conditions (3.2)-(3.3) are satisfied with R_1 equal to any positive number. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Delta u_1}{u_1} + \lambda &= \frac{n-1}{r} f_1' + f_1'' + \left(f_1'\right)^2 + \lambda \\ &= \frac{n-1}{r}\operatorname{sgn}(\arg\lambda)\sqrt{-\lambda} - \lambda + \lambda \\ &= \frac{(n-1)\operatorname{sgn}(\arg\lambda)\sqrt{-\lambda}}{r},\end{aligned}$$

so condition (3.1) is satisfied. This completes the case $m = 1$.
Let $u_2(r) = \exp\left(f_1(r) - \frac{n-1}{2}\log r\right)$. Since $f_1(r) = \operatorname{sgn}(\arg\lambda)\sqrt{-\lambda}r$, then it is possible to choose R_2 and C_2 so that (3.2) and (3.3) hold. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Delta u_2}{u_2} + \lambda &= \frac{n-1}{r}\left(\operatorname{sgn}(\arg\lambda)\sqrt{-\lambda} - \frac{n-1}{2r}\right) + \frac{n-1}{2r^2} + \left(\operatorname{sgn}(\arg\lambda)\sqrt{-\lambda} - \frac{n-1}{2r}\right)^2 + \lambda \\ &= -\frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{4r^2},\end{aligned}$$

which completes the case $m = 2$.
Inductive hypothesis: For any $m \geq 2$, we will assume that there exists a function $u_m(r) = \exp(f_m(r))$ such that (3.1) and (3.2) are satisfied. That is,

$$\frac{n-1}{r} f_m' + f_m'' + \left(f_m'\right)^2 + \lambda = \frac{d_m}{r^m} + \frac{d_{m+1}}{r^{m+1}} + \ldots + \frac{d_{2(m-1)}}{r^{2(m-1)}},$$

where f_m takes the form $f_m(r) = c_1 r + c_2\log r + c_3 r^{-1} + \ldots c_m r^{2-m}$. If $\Re(c_1) < 0$, then there exist constants C_m and R_m so that (3.2)-(3.3) holds.

Let $u_{m+1}(r) = \exp\left(f_m(r) + c_{m+1}r^{1-m}\right)$ for a constant c_{m+1} to be determined. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Delta u_{m+1}}{u_{m+1}} + \lambda &= \frac{n-1}{r} f'_{m+1} + f''_{m+1} + \left(f'_{m+1}\right)^2 + \lambda \\ &= \frac{n-1}{r}\left[f'_m - (m-1)c_{m+1}r^{-m}\right] + f''_m + m(m-1)c_{m+1}r^{-(m+1)} + \left[f'_m - (m-1)c_{m+1}r^{-m}\right]^2 + \lambda \\ &= \frac{n-1}{r} f'_m + f''_m + \left(f'_m\right)^2 + \lambda \\ &+ \frac{[m-(n-1)](m-1)c_{m+1}}{r^{m+1}} - 2(m-1)c_{m+1}\left[\frac{c_1}{r^m} + \frac{c_2}{r^{m+1}} + \ldots - \frac{(m-2)c_m}{r^{2m-1}}\right] + \frac{[(m-1)c_{m+1}]^2}{r^{2m}} \\ &= \frac{d_m - 2(m-1)c_1c_{m+1}}{r^m} + \frac{d_{m+1} + [m-(n-1)-2c_2](m-1)c_{m+1}}{r^{m+1}} + \ldots + \frac{[(m-1)c_{m+1}]^2}{r^{2m}}.\end{aligned}$$

If we let $c_{m+1} = \dfrac{d_m}{2(m-1)c_1}$, then condition (3.1) is satisfied. Furthermore, we may find $R_{m+1} \geq R_m$, $C_{m+1} > 0$ so that our decay requirements are fulfilled. □

With Lemma 3.1, we are able to prove the following proposition which immediately implies Theorem 2(b)

Proposition 2. *For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, we have the following.*
(a) If $\beta_0 = \dfrac{4-2N}{3} < 1$, then there exists a potential V and an eigenfunction u such that (2.1) and (2.2) hold.
(b) If $\beta_0 = 2 - 2P < 1$, then there exists a potential W and an eigenfunction u such that (2.4) and (2.5) hold.
In both cases,

$$|u(x)| \leq C\exp(-c|x|). \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. If $\beta_0 = \dfrac{4-2N}{3} < 1$, let $m = \lceil N \rceil$. Otherwise, let $m = \lceil P \rceil$. Since $\beta_0 < 1$, m≥ 1. Let R_m and u_m be as in Lemma 3.1. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $R_m \geq 1$. Set

$$u(r) = \begin{cases} u_m(r) & \text{if } r \geq R_m \\ u_m(R_m) & \text{if } r \leq R_m \text{ and } \beta_0 = \frac{4-2N}{3} \\ C\exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2n}r^2\right) & \text{if } r \leq R_m \text{ and } \beta_0 = 2-2P \end{cases},$$

$$V(r) = \begin{cases} d_m r^{-m} + d_{m+1}r^{-(m+1)} + \ldots + d_{2(m-1)}r^{-2(m-1)} & \text{if } r \geq R_m \\ \lambda & \text{if } r \leq R_m \end{cases}$$

$$W(r) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{d_m}{c_1r^m + \ldots - (m-2)c_m r} + \ldots + \frac{d_{2(m-1)}}{c_1r^{2(m-1)} + \ldots - (m-2)c_m r^{m-1}}\right](\cos\varphi, \sin\varphi) & \text{if } r \geq R_m \\ -\frac{\lambda r}{n}(\cos\varphi, \sin\varphi) & \text{if } r \leq R_m \end{cases},$$

where C is chosen so that $u_m(R_m) = C\exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2n}R_m^2\right)$. By (3.2) in Lemma 3.1, $|u(x)| \lesssim \exp(-c|x|)$.
If $\beta_0 = \dfrac{4-2N}{3}$, then $\Delta u + \lambda u = Vu$, where $|V(x)| \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-m} \leq \langle x\rangle^{-N}$.
By (3.3), W is well-defined. If $\beta_0 = 2-2P$, then $\Delta u + \lambda u = W \cdot \nabla u$, where $|W(x)| \lesssim \langle x\rangle^{-m} \leq \langle x\rangle^{-P}$. □

APPENDIX A.

The goal of this appendix is to estimate the size of the imaginary part of $\dfrac{r^{-2k}\mu_n}{b\mu_{n-2k}}$ and show that it is arbitrarily small. The following estimate is useful in Step 1C of the proof of Lemma 2.1. Without this estimate, our construction would only work for real eigenvalues.

Lemma A.1. *For b as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, there exists a constant C such that for all $r \in \left[\rho + \frac{2}{3}\rho^{\alpha}, \rho + \frac{4}{3}\rho^{\alpha}\right]$,*

$$\left| Im\left(\frac{r^{-2k}\mu_n(r)}{b\mu_{n-2k}(r)}\right)\right| \leq \frac{C}{n}.$$

In particular, ρ_0 may be chosen large enough so that

$$\left| Im\left(\frac{r^{-2k}\mu_n(r)}{b\mu_{n-2k}(r)}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{7}\right).$$

Proof. For a given eigenvalue, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we will let θ denote the argument of λ. That is, $\lambda = |\lambda| e^{i\theta}$. Let $\tilde{r} = r - (\rho + \rho^{\alpha})$. For $r \in \left[\rho + \frac{2}{3}\rho^{\alpha}, \rho + \frac{4}{3}\rho^{\alpha}\right]$, we see that $\tilde{r} \sim r^{\alpha} = r^{\beta_0/2-1}$. Since

$$\mu_n(r) = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda r^2}{4n} + \frac{\lambda^2 r^4}{32n^3} + \frac{\lambda^3 r^6}{96n^5} + \dots\right),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\log\left[\frac{\mu_n(r)}{\mu_{n-2k}(r)}\frac{\mu_{n-2k}(\rho+\rho^{\alpha})}{\mu_n(\rho+\rho^{\alpha})}\right] &= \frac{\lambda\left[r^2 - (\rho+\rho^{\alpha})^2\right]}{4n} + \frac{\lambda^2\left[r^4 - (\rho+\rho^{\alpha})^4\right]}{32n^3} + \frac{\lambda^3\left[r^6 - (\rho+\rho^{\alpha})^6\right]}{96n^5} + \dots \\
&\quad - \frac{\lambda\left[r^2 - (\rho+\rho^{\alpha})^2\right]}{4(n-2k)} - \frac{\lambda^2\left[r^4 - (\rho+\rho^{\alpha})^4\right]}{32(n-2k)^3} - \frac{\lambda^3\left[r^6 - (\rho+\rho^{\alpha})^6\right]}{96(n-2k)^5} + \dots \\
&= \frac{\lambda\left[(n-2k) - n\right]\left[r^2 - (\rho+\rho^{\alpha})^2\right]}{4n(n-2k)} + \frac{\lambda^2\left[(n-2k)^3 - n^3\right]\left[r^4 - (\rho+\rho^{\alpha})^4\right]}{32n^3(n-2k)^3} \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda^3\left[(n-2k)^5 - n^5\right]\left[r^6 - (\rho+\rho^{\alpha})^6\right]}{96n^5(n-2k)^5} + \dots \\
&= -\frac{\lambda k\tilde{r}(\rho+\rho^{\alpha})\left(1 + \frac{\tilde{r}}{\rho+\rho^{\alpha}}\right)}{n(n-2k)} \\
&\quad - \frac{3\lambda^2 k\left(1 - 2\frac{k}{n} + \frac{4k^2}{3n^2}\right)(\rho+\rho^{\alpha})^3\tilde{r}\left(1 + \frac{3\tilde{r}}{2(\rho+\rho^{\alpha})} + \frac{\tilde{r}^2}{(\rho+\rho^{\alpha})^2} + \frac{\tilde{r}^3}{4(\rho+\rho^{\alpha})^3}\right)}{4n(n-2k)^3} - \dots \\
&= \Gamma(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta) + \mathscr{O}\left(\frac{r^2}{n^3}\right)\left(\cos\tilde{\theta} + i\sin\tilde{\theta}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma = -\dfrac{|\lambda| k\tilde{r}(\rho+\rho^{\alpha})\left(1 + \frac{\tilde{r}}{\rho+\rho^{\alpha}}\right)}{n(n-2k)} = \mathscr{O}\left(\dfrac{1}{n}\right)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mu_n(r)}{\mu_{n-2k}(r)}\frac{\mu_{n-2k}(\rho+\rho^{\alpha})}{\mu_n(\rho+\rho^{\alpha})} &= \left(1 + \Gamma\cos\theta + \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma\cos\theta)^2 + \dots\right)\left[1 + i\Gamma\sin\theta - \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma\sin\theta)^2 + \dots\right] \\
&\quad \times \left(1 + \mathscr{O}\left(\frac{r^2}{n^3}\right)\right)\left[1 + i\,\mathscr{O}\left(\frac{r^2}{n^3}\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{\mu_n(r)}{\mu_{n-2k}(r)}\frac{\mu_{n-2k}(\rho+\rho^{\alpha})}{\mu_n(\rho+\rho^{\alpha})}\right] = \Gamma\sin\theta + \mathscr{O}\left(\frac{r^2}{n^3}\right),$$

and the result follows. ☐

Acknowledgement I would like to thank my advisor, Carlos Kenig, for suggesting this problem and for all of his guidance and support.

REFERENCES

[1] Laurent Bakri. *Quantitative uniqueness for Schrödinger operator*, Indiana Univ. Math J., to appear, available at `http://www.iumj.indiana.edu/IUMJ/Preprints/4713.pdf`

[2] Laurent Bakri.*Vanishing order of solutions to Schrödinger equation*, preprint, available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1111/6530`

[3] Laurent Bakri and Jean-Baptiste Casteras.*Quantitative uniqueness for Schrödinger operator with regular potentials*, preprint, available at `http://arxiv.org/pdf/1203.3720.pdf`

[4] J. Bourgain and C. Kenig,*On localization in the Anderson-Bernoulli model in higher dimensions*, Invent. Math. **161** (2005) 389-426.

[5] J. Cruz-Sampedro,*Unique continuation at infinity of solutions to Schrödinger equations with complex-valued potentials*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **(2) 42** (1999), 143-153.

[6] B. Davey, *Some quantitative unique continuation estimates at infinity for eigenfunctions of the magnetic Schrödinger operator*, preprint, available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1209.5822`

[7] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman, *Nodal domains and growth of harmonic functions on noncompact manifolds.* J. Geom. Anal. **2** (1992), no. 1, 7993.

[8] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman, *Nodal Sets of eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds*, Inv. Math **93** (1988), 161-183.

[9] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman, Growth and geometry of eigenfunctions of the Laplacian, *Analysis and partial differential equations*, 635-655, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **122**, *Dekker, New York*, 1990.

[10] L. Escauriaza, C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega. *Decay at infinity of caloric functions within characteristic hyperplanes. (English summary)* Math. Res. Lett. **13** (2006), no. 2-3, 441-453.

[11] R. Froese, I. Herbst, M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, L^2*-lower bounds to solutions of one-body Schrodinger equations.* Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **95** (1983), no. 1-2, 25-38.

[12] R. Froese, I. Herbst, M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, L^2*-exponential lower bounds to solutions of the Schrodinger equation.* Comm. Math. Phys. **87** (1982/83), no. 2, 265-286.

[13] Han, Qing and Lin, Fanghua. *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations.* Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University. New York, NY, USA. 1997

[14] A. D. Ionescu, D. Jerison, *On the absence of positive eigenvalues of Schrdinger operators with rough potentials. (English summary)* Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), no. 5, 1029-1081.

[15] T. Kato, *Growth properties of solutions of the reduced wave equation with a variable coefficient.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **12** 1959 403-425.

[16] Carlos E. Kenig and Claudio Muñoz *Personal communication.*

[17] Kenig, Carlos E. *Some recent applications of unique continuation*, Contemp. Math. **439** (2007), 25-56.

[18] Kenig, Carlos E. *Some recent quantitative unique continuation theorems*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci XL Mem. Mat. Appl. **29** (2005), 231-242.

[19] Meshkov, V. Z. *On the possible rate of decay at infinity of solutions of second order partial differential equations.* Math USSR SB, 1992 **72** (2), 343-361.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, 5734 SOUTH UNIVERSITY AVE, CHICAGO, IL, 60615, USA
E-mail address: `bdavey@math.uchicago.edu`